

RULES FOR THE CASE DISCUSSION

Registered groups of students will be matched in a series of mock trials, where each group will play the role of an economic think tank: the first group will give the benefit of its advice to the Plaintiff, the second one to the Defendant.

For each assigned case, the group must write a 2/3 pages memorandum to be presented to The Court (me, myself and I) according to the following hint:

The Plaintiff:

- Case description: The issue, involved parts (alleged, accusing, injured if existing), involved markets, alleged violation.
- Theoretical framework: Market problem and total welfare loss if the violation takes place (rationale for allegation and main reasons you can expect in the defendant's position)
- Conclusion: request and rationale for it

The Defendant:

- Case description: The issue, involved parts (alleged, accusing, injured if existing), involved markets, alleged violation.
- Theoretical framework: Market problem and total welfare loss if the violation takes place (rationale for plaintiff's allegation)
- Conclusion: Reasons to reject the allegation (rationale for non-intervention)

Besides of the memorandum, each group must discuss the case with the counterpart through a 10-15 minutes Power Point public presentation at the presence of The Court and of the rest of the class.

After a 10' open debate on the case, The Court will take a decision (un-appealable!).

Notice: the evaluation is not related to the success/failure in winning the Court case, but on the ability in focusing on case main elements, in organizing a good accusation/defence line, in explaining your reasons in the presentation.